

December 5, 2013

Custom-Crete 2624 Joe Field Rd. Dallas, Texas 75229

Attention	: Mr. Jerry Gaubert
Telephone	: (972) 488-8131
Fax	: (972) 481-9154
E-mail	: jerry.gaubert@oldcastle.com

#### Re: Concrete Comparative Testing - Volumetric versus Drum Truck, Revision 1 Volumetric Mixer Manufacturing Bureau (VMMB) Project Terracon Project No. 92101448

Dear Mr. Gaubert:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) is pleased to submit this letter report following completion of the Concrete Comparative Testing performed for Custom-Crete. The work was performed in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. P92101132 dated August 17, 2010 and changes to the scope as agreed upon by Custom-Crete to the sampling frequency and number of compressive strength test specimens of concrete during the conference call on December 12, 2010. The finalized scope of work was authorized by Mr. Jerry Gaubert of Custom-Crete through execution of Terracon's "Agreement for Services" signed December 9, 2010 and verbal confirmation of the scope changes during the conference call on December 12, 2010. It was informed to Terracon that Custom-Crete was facilitating this project on behalf of the Volumetric Mixer Manufacturing Bureau. Report presents our field and laboratory test data, as well as a discussion of the test results.

#### **1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION**

The purpose of this project was to compare concrete mix properties of similar plant batched ready-mix concrete from a volumetric versus a drum truck. The field and laboratory test results will be used to compare the two concrete mixing and delivery methods. The goal is to evaluate the efficiency of both methods. In this report, a discussion of the field and laboratory test results of three concrete mixes comprising of two volumetric and one drum mixed concrete during two separate placements is presented. Terracon submitted the test results from the concrete comparative testing through its report dated March 10, 2011.

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 11555 Clay Road, Suite 100 Houston, Texas 77043 Registration No. F-3272 P [713] 690 8989 F [713] 690 8787 terracon.com



# 2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

Our scope of services included field and laboratory testing:

#### 2.1 Field Sampling and Testing

Terracon provided four ACI certified technicians to perform various tests to compare concrete mix properties for similar concrete mix designs, when batched from a volumetric versus a drum truck. Four technicians were assigned in groups of two to sample the concrete and perform the following field tests in accordance with Standard Practice for Sampling Freshly Mixed Concrete (ASTM C-172)

- Slump (ASTM C 143)
- Air Content (ASTM C 231)
- Unit Weight (ASTM C 138)

Custom-Crete was responsible for the batching, mixing and placement of the Ready-Mix concrete at their Brittmoore Road plant in Houston, Texas. Concrete was reported by Custom-Crete to be produced in accordance with ASTM C 685 and ASTM C 94. The concrete making materials (except for the water reducing admixture) for both the mixes were from the same sources. Upon batching and mixing, the ready-mix concrete was sampled by Terracon technicians from four different parts of the batch, including:

- 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of the batch
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter of the batch
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of the batch
- 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter of the batch

One drum truck and one volumetric truck was provided by Custom-Crete for the scheduled batching, mixing and placement operations. One set of 6 cylinder specimens were cast for each quarter of the batch for a total of 24 specimens for each mixing method.

Three concrete batches comprising of two volumetric and one drum truck, were placed on two separate placement dates. The first placement involved sampling one drum and one volumetric truck. The second placement was an additional test of the volumetric mixed concrete. The additional was required to address mix design differences in the volumetric and drum truck on the first placement. The difference between the two concrete mix batches was the use of water reducing admixture. The drum mixed concrete contained this admixture while the volumetric mixed concrete did not contain it. The second placement was also required to obtain a water to cement ratio that was similar for both the mixing methods.



### 2.2 Laboratory Testing

After 24 hours of initial field curing, cast test cylinders were transported to our laboratory for curing and subsequent testing for compressive strength testing in accordance with ASTM C39 and ASTM C617.

### 3.0 TEST RESULTS

The following section presents the field and laboratory testing results of concrete produced using drum and volumetric mixing methods.

#### 3.1 Slump:

The concrete slump test results are presented in Table 1 and shown graphically in Figure 1. The slump was within the target range of 3 to 5 inches for the three concrete mixes produced.

Table 1. Concrete Slump (inches) Test Results (ASTM C 143)					
		Mixing/Production Met	hod		
	Drum Mixed w/WR	Volumetric Mixed w/o WR	Volumetric Mixed w/WR <sup>1</sup>		
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter of the batch	3.25"	4.0"	4.5"		
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter of the batch	3.75"	4.5"	2.25"		
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter of the batch	3"	3.25"	3.75"		
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter of the batch	4"	4.5"	3.25"		
Average	3.50"	4.0"	3.50"		

<sup>1</sup>Additional Test



**Report of Concrete Comparative Testing Services, Revision 1** Custom-Crete Houston, Texas

December 5, 2013 
Terracon Project No. 92101448



Concrete Batch Portion (Q = Quarter)

# Figure 1. Concrete Slump Changes Within a Batch for Volumetric and Drum Mixed Concrete

#### 3.2 Air Content:

The concrete air content test results are presented in Table 2 and shown graphically in Figure 2. The air content increased by a factor of about 3 following the addition of ASTM C494 Type A/F water reducing admixture (WR) in the concrete that was volumetric mixed.

Table 2. Concrete Air Content (%) Test Results (ASTM C 231)						
	Mixing/Production Method					
	Drum Mixed	Volumetric Mixed w/o WR	Volumetric Mixed w/WR <sup>1</sup>			
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter of the batch	1.5	2.0	4.6			
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter of the batch	1.6	1.8	5.4			
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter of the batch	1.8	2.3	5.2			
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter of the batch	1.5	1.9	4.7			
Average	1.6	2.0	5.0			

<sup>1</sup>Additional Test





Custom-Crete Houston, Texas December 5, 2013 Terracon Project No. 92101448



## Figure 2. Concrete Air Content Changes Within a Batch for Volumetric and Drum Mixed Concrete

#### 3.3 Unit Weight:

The concrete plastic unit weight test results are presented in Table 3 and shown graphically in Figure 3. The unit weight of the volumetric mixed concrete (with water reducer) was lower by about 5 pcf in comparison to the drum mixed concrete. This can be contributed to the higher air content results observed for the same mix.

Table 3 Concrete Plastic Unit	Table 3 Concrete Plastic Unit Weight (pcf) Test Results (ASTM C 138)						
	Mixing/Production Method						
	Drum Mixed	Volumetric Mixed w/o WR	Volumetric Mixed w/WR <sup>1</sup>				
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter of the batch	145.4	144.4	141.2				
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter of the batch	145.6	145.0	140.8				
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter of the batch	144.8	143.4	140.6				
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter of the batch	145.6	145.0	140.2				
Average	145.4	144.5	140.7				

<sup>1</sup> Additional Test



December 5, 2013 
Terracon Project No. 92101448



# Figure 3. Concrete Unit Weight Changes Within a Batch for Volumetric and Drum Mixed Concrete

#### 3.4 Compressive Strength:

The concrete compressive strength test results are presented in Table 4 and shown graphically in Figure 4. The compressive strength on for the three mixes showed an increasing trend when compared to the age. The 28 day compressive strength of drum mixed concrete was lower compared to the volumetric mixed concrete specimens. However, this difference was less than 5 percent at 56 day test age.

Table 4. Average* Concrete Compressive Strength (psi) Test Results, (ASTM C 39)					
		Mixing/Production	n Method		
Age	Drum Mixed	Volumetric Mixe w/o WR	d Volumetric Mixed w/ WR <sup>1</sup>		
7 Day	2943	3338	3296		
28 Day	4085**	4201**	4365		
56 Day	4563	4647	4679		

<sup>1</sup> Additional Test

\*This is an average of the compressive strength test results of specimens of all the four batches at the respective test age.



\*\*The 28 day compressive strength test results are replaced by the 30 day test results as they appear to be an outlier. Refer to attached concrete test report 92101448.0001 Set#3 for Drum Mixed concrete and test report 92101448.0002 Set#4 for Volumetric Mixed concrete.



# Figure 4. Concrete Compressive Strength Versus Age for Volumetric and Drum Mixed Concrete

#### 3.5 Water/Cement Ratio:

The water/cementitious materials test results are presented in Table 5. Comparing the drum mixed and volumetric mixed (re-test) test results, the concrete produced using both the methods appears to be produced with the same w/c ratio.

Table 5. Average* Water/Cement Ratio						
		Mixing/Proc	duction Meth	od		
	Drum Mixed	Volumetric w/o WR	Mixed	Volumetric Mixed w/WR <sup>1</sup>		
Water/Cementitious Ratio	0.57	0.68		0.57		
1						

Additional Test

\*This is an average based on the total water added to the concrete during the production of the entire concrete batch. The water/cementitious ratio may be higher than the average reported for the entire batch. This is due to the fact that water was added during mixing based on visual evaluation of the concrete consistency. The water/cement ratio was calculated by Custom-Crete and reported to Terracon.



# 4.0 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the field and laboratory test results presented in Section 3.0 of this report, it appears that the compressive strength of the concrete shows an increasing trend over a period of 56 days in both the production methods. At any of the three test ages, the concrete produced using the volumetric method had a marginally higher strength gain compared to the concrete produced by a drum truck using similar materials and about the same water/cement ratio.

The water/cement ratio of the volumetric mix produced concrete without any water reducing admixture was higher compared to the similar volumetric mix produced with the water reducing admixture. The higher water/cement ratio resulted in a little reduction in the compressive strength when compared to the volumetric mix with a water reducer. However, even with the lower water/cement ratio, the strength gain was higher compared to the drum mixed concrete at the same test ages.

There was a threefold difference in the air content of concrete produced using volumetric mixing and that of drum mixed concrete. This may be attributed to the use of different make water reducing admixtures and its chemistry with the cement of the concrete. The higher air content of the volumetric mixed concrete with water reducer did not lead to a reduction in the compressive strength when compared to the results of the same mix in a drum mix truck.

The slump of concrete batches produced using both methods was between 3 to 4.5 inches.

Based on the field and laboratory test results, it appears that the volumetric and drum mix production methods produce similar results when similar materials are used to produce a concrete within a specified slump, air content and water/cement ratio.

The efficiencies of using either of the production methods is a question of its practicality of use on a particular project.

# 5.0 LIMITATIONS

The analysis and opinions presented in this report are based upon the information provided to us by Custom-Crete, together with our field and laboratory test data. While additional conditions may exist that could alter our conclusions, we feel that reasonable means have been made to evaluate the concrete materials.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Custom-Crete for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices using the standard of care and skill ordinarily exercised by professional engineers practicing in this area, for a project of similar scope and nature. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made. It is possible that defects and/or deficiencies exist that were not readily accessible or visible. Problems may develop with time, which were not evident at the time of this assessment. The opinions and recommendations in this report should Report of Concrete Comparative Testing Services, Revision 1 Custom-Crete Houston, Texas December 5, 2013 Terracon Project No. 92101448



ALFONZO HERNAN

not be construed in any way to constitute a warranty or guarantee regarding the current or future performance of any system identified. In the event that information described in this document which was provided by others is incorrect, or if additional information becomes available, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless Terracon reviews the information and either verifies or modifies the conclusions of this report in writing.

It was our pleasure to work with you on this project and provide our services. We hope our report is responsive to your needs. If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely, Terracon Consultants, Inc. (TBPE Firm Registration No. F-3272)

Jigar B. Desai, Ph.D., P.E. **Project Engineer** 

Alfonizo Hernandez, P.E. Construction Services Manager

#### Attachments:

1. Terracon Compressive Strength Test Reports

Report Number: 92101448.0001 Service Date: 12/13/10 02/08/11 **Report Date:** Revision 4 - 56-day results



#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

#### **Material Information**

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Drum	Mix	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	
Truck No.:	410	Ticket No.:	No Ticket

#### Field Test Data

Laboratory Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3 1/4	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.5	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	68	
Ambient Temp. (F):		
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	145.4	

#### Sample Date: 12/13/10 **Sample Time:** 1255 Sampled By: Dustin R. Serrano Weather Conditions: Clear 9 **Accumulative Yards:** 1.5 **Batch Size (cy): Placement Method:** Direct Discharge Water Added Before (gal):5 Water Added After (gal): 0 **Sample Location:** 1st Quarter of the slab area **Placement Location:** Pavement slab at entrance drive

Maximum

Load

(lbs)

Compressive

Strength

(psi)

Fracture

Type

#### Set Specimen Date Diameter Area Date Test No. ID (in) Received Tested (sq in) (days)

1	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	85,070	3,010	2
1	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	84,610	2,990	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,000	
1	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	119,120	4,210	2
1	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	117,590	4,160	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,190	
1	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	130,260	4,610	3
1	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	129,490	4,580	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,600	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Age at

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

#### Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength. Drum Mix Method

**Samples Made By: Terracon** 

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1530

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

ete
e

Custom Crete Inc **Contractor:** 

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

**Report Number: 92101448.0001** Service Date: 12/13/10 02/08/11 **Report Date:** Revision 4 - 56-day results



#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

#### **Material Information**

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Drum	n Mix	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	
Truck No.:	410	Ticket No.:	Not Provid

#### Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3 3/4	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.6	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	71	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	145.6	

Sample Date:	12/13/10	Sample Time:	1305	
Sampled By:	Kelly R. Howes			
Weather Conditions:	Clear			
Accumulative Yards:	3.5	Batch Size (cy):	9	
Placement Method:	Direct Discharge			
Water Added Before (gal)	:5			
Water Added After (gal):	0			
Sample Location:	2nd Quarte	er of the slab area		
<b>Placement Location:</b>	Pavement slab at entrance drive			

# Laboratory Test Data

Labura	liory rest D	ala				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
2	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	80,930	2,860	2
2	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	84,520	2,990	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	2,930	
2	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	118,580	4,190	2
2	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	109,840	3,890	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,040	
2	E	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	131,300	4,640	3
2	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	132,530	4,690	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,670	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

# Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Drum Mix Method

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1530

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

ete
e

Custom Crete Inc **Contractor:** 

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

**Report Number: 92101448.0001** Service Date: 12/13/10 02/08/11 **Report Date:** Revision 4 - 56-day results



#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

#### **Material Information**

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Drum	n Mix	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	
Truck No.:	410	Ticket No.:	Not Provid

#### Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.8	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	69	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	144.8	

Sample Date:	12/13/10	Sample Time:	1311		
Sampled By:	Dustin R.	Serrano			
Weather Conditions:	Clear				
Accumulative Yards:	5.5	Batch Size (cy):	9		
Placement Method:	Direct Discharge				
Water Added Before (gal)	:0				
Water Added After (gal):	0				
Sample Location:	3rd Quarte	er of the slab area			
<b>Placement Location:</b>	Pavement slab at entrance drive				

#### Laboratory Test Data

Labura	liory rest D	ala				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
3	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	82,750	2,930	2
3	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	88,360	3,130	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,030	
3	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	131,630	4,660	2
3	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	144,200	5,100	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,880	
3	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/12/11	30	117,120	4,140	2
3	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/12/11	30	116,530	4,120	3
						Aver	age (30 days)	4,130	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

# Comments: Compressive strength of 30 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Drum Mix Method

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1530

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete **Reported To:** 

**Contractor:** Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

 Report Number:
 92101448.0001

 Service Date:
 12/13/10

 Report Date:
 02/08/11
 Revision 4 - 56-day results



#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

#### **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Drum	n Mix	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	
Truck No.:	410	Ticket No.:	Not Provid

#### **Field Test Data**

Laboratory Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	4	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.5	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	71	
Ambient Temp. (F):	61	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	145.6	

6.00

6.00

#### Sample Information Sample Date: 12/13/10 Sample Time: 1320 Sampled By: Kelly R. Howes Weather Conditions: Clear 9 **Accumulative Yards:** 8.0 Batch Size (cy): **Placement Method:** Direct Discharge Water Added Before (gal):5 Water Added After (gal): 0 **Sample Location:** 4th Ouarter of the slab area **Placement Location:** Pavement slab at entrance drive

#### Age at Maximum Set Specimen Date Load Diameter Date Test Area ID Received Tested (lbs) No. (in) (sq in) (days) 4 A 6.00 28.27 12/14/10 12/20/10 7 78,880 4 В 6.00 28.27 12/14/10 12/20/10 7 80,330 Average (7 days) С 4 6.00 28.27 12/14/10 01/10/11 28 115,880 D 28.27 109.360 4 6.00 12/14/10 01/10/11 28 Average (28 days)

#### **Comments:** Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength. Drum Mix Method

28.27

28.27

12/14/10

12/14/10

#### **Samples Made By: Terracon**

Ε

F

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

02/07/11

02/07/11

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

**Reported To:** Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete **Contractor:** Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

4

Δ

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

Finished: 1530 **Reviewed By:** 

1130

Started:

56

56

123,800

126,660

Average (56 days)

ar B. Desai, Ph.D., P.E. Project Manager

Compressive

Strength

(psi)

2,790

2,840

2,820

4,100

3.870

3,990

4.380

4,480

4,430

Fracture

Type

2

2

2

2

2

2

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

Report Number:92101448.0002Service Date:12/13/10Report Date:02/08/11Revision 4 - 56-day resultsTask:Volumetric Mix Concrete

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

### **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Volu	metric	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	
Truck No.:	-	Ticket No.:	Not Provid

#### **Field Test Data**

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	4	3-5
Air Content (%):	2.0	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	60	
Ambient Temp. (F):	63	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	144.4	

#### Sample Date: 12/13/10 Sample Time: Sampled By: Dustin R. Serrano Weather Conditions: Clear **Accumulative Yards:** 1.25 **Batch Size (cy): Placement Method:** Direct Discharge Water Added Before (gal):0 Water Added After (gal): 0 **Sample Location:** 1st Quarter of the slab area **Placement Location:** Pavement slab near concrete loading area

Labora	itory lest D	Jata				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
1	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	92,320	3,270	2
1	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	94,380	3,340	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,310	
1	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	124,430	4,400	2
1	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	119,460	4,230	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,320	
1	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	129,650	4,590	3
1	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	133,160	4,710	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,650	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

# Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Volumetric Mixing Method

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Reported To: Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete

Contractor: Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### Test Methods: ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

Started: Finished:

Report Number:92101448.0002Service Date:12/13/10Report Date:02/08/11Revision 4 - 56-day resultsTask:Volumetric Mix Concrete

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

### **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Volumetric					
Supplier:	Customcrete					
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:				
Truck No.:	-	Ticket No.:	Not Provid			

#### **Field Test Data**

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	4 1/2	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.8	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	61	
Ambient Temp. (F):	63	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	145.0	

Sample Date:	12/13/10	Sample Time:			
Sampled By:	Kelly R. Howes				
Weather Conditions:	Clear				
Accumulative Yards:	3.5	Batch Size (cy):			
Placement Method:	Direct Discharge				
Water Added Before (gal)	:0				
Water Added After (gal):	0				
Sample Location:	2nd Quarte	er of slab			
Placement Location:	Pavement s	slab near concrete loading			
	area				

Maximum

Compressive

# Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Specimen ID	Diameter (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Test (days)	Load (lbs)	Strength (psi)	Fracture Type
2	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	96,070	3,400	2
2	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	96,040	3,400	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,400	
2	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	121,420	4,290	3
2	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	123,150	4,360	3
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,330	
2	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	127,330	4,500	3
2	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	134,270	4,750	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,630	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

# Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Volumetric Mixing Method

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Reported To: Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete

Contractor: Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### Test Methods: ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

Started: Finished:

Age at

**Report Number:** 92101448.0002 Service Date: 12/13/10 **Report Date:** 02/08/11 Revision 4 - 56-day results Task: Volumetric Mix Concrete

# Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

# **Material Information**

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Volumetric			
Supplier:	Customcrete			
Batch Time: Truck No.:	-	Plant: Ticket No.:	Not Provid	

# Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3 1/4	3-5
Air Content (%):	2.3	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	59	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	143.4	

	10/10/10 0 1 5				
Sample Date:	12/13/10 Sample Time:				
Sampled By:	Dustin R. Serrano				
Weather Conditions:	Clear				
Accumulative Yards:	5.25 Batch Size (cy):				
Placement Method:	Direct Discharge				
Water Added Before (gal)	:0				
Water Added After (gal):	0				
Sample Location:	3rd Quarter of slab				
Placement Location:	Pavement slab near concrete loading				
	area				

# Laboratory Test Data

Labora	lory rest D	ala				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
3	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	97,340	3,440	2
3	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	97,130	3,440	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,440	
3	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	123,490	4,370	2
3	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	118,740	4,200	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,290	
3	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	132,530	4,690	3
3	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	02/07/11	56	131,070	4,640	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,670	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

# Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Volumetric Mixing Method

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete **Reported To:** 

**Contractor:** Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

Started: Finished:

Report Number:92101448.0002Service Date:12/13/10Report Date:02/08/11Revision 4 - 56-day resultsTask:Volumetric Mix Concrete

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

# **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000psi Volumetric					
Supplier:	Customcrete					
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:				
Truck No.:	-	Ticket No.:	Not Provid			

#### **Field Test Data**

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	4 1/2	3-5
Air Content (%):	1.9	1-3
Concrete Temp. (F):	61	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	145.0	

#### **Sample Information** Sample Time: Sample Date: 12/13/10 Sampled By: Kelly R. Howes Weather Conditions: Clear **Accumulative Yards:** 6.75 **Batch Size (cy): Placement Method:** Direct Discharge Water Added Before (gal):1 Water Added After (gal): **Sample Location:** 4th Ouarter of slab Pavement slab near concrete loading **Placement Location:** area

_apora	tory lest D	Jata				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
4	Α	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	91,770	3,250	2
4	В	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	12/20/10	7	89,350	3,160	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,210	
4	С	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	94,150	3,330	2
4	D	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/10/11	28	99,260	3,510	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	3,420	
4	Е	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/12/11	30	100,450	3,550	3
4	F	6.00	28.27	12/14/10	01/12/11	30	119,130	4,210	2
						Aver	age (30 days)	3,880	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

Comments: Compressive strength of 30 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Volumetric Mixing Method

Refer to Report#.0001 for time.

Report Number:92101448.0002Service Date:12/13/10Report Date:02/08/11Revision 4 - 56-day resultsTask:Volumetric Mix Concrete

Client

Custom Crete Inc

2624 Joe Field Rd

Dallas, TX 75229

Attn: Jerry Gaubert

**Therefore** 11555 Clay Road Suite 100 Houston, TX 77043 713-690-8989 Reg No: F-3272

# Project

Concrete Comparative Testing 4523 Brittmoore Rd Houston, TX

Project Number: 92101448

#### Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617). Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes Started:

Terracon Rep.:Kelly R. HowesReported To:Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete

Contractor: Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc, jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com Finished: Reviewed By:

ar B. Desai, Ph.D., P.E. Project Manager

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

Report Number: 92101448.0003 Service Date: 12/17/10 **Report Date:** 02/11/11 Revision 3 - 56-day results Task: **Re-Testing Volumetric Mix** 

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

### **Material Information**

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000 psi volumetric				
Supplier:	Customcrete				
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	Brittmoore		
Truck No.:	NA	Ticket No.:	NA		

#### Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	4 1/2	3 to 5
Air Content (%):	4.6	1 to 3
Concrete Temp. (F):	66	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	141.2	

Sample Date:	12/17/10	Sample Time:	1219
Sampled By:	Kelly R. H	owes	
Weather Conditions:	Cloudy		
Accumulative Yards:	~2.5	Batch Size (cy):	
Placement Method:	Direct Disc	charge	
Water Added Before (gal)	:0		
Water Added After (gal):	0		
Sample Location:	Same as pl	acement	
Placement Location:	Customere	te Brittmoore plant	misc.
	paving repa	air	

# Laboratory Test Data

Labura	lory rest D	ala				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Set	Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
No.	ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
1	A	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	94,110	3,330	2
1	В	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	94,660	3,350	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,340	
1	С	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	123,030	4,350	2
1	D	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	122,120	4,320	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,340	
1	E	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	137,870	4,880	3
1	F	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	129,240	4,570	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,730	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

#### Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1430

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Reported To:	Armando	Barce	nas w/Cus	stomcrete

Custom Crete Inc **Contractor:** 

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

Report Number:92101448.0003Service Date:12/17/10Report Date:02/11/11Restring Volumetric Mix

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

### **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000 psi volumetric				
Supplier:	Customcrete				
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	Brittmoore		
Truck No.:	NA	Ticket No.:	NA		

#### **Field Test Data**

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	2 1/4	3 to 5
Air Content (%):	5.4	1 to 3
Concrete Temp. (F):	67	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	140.8	

Sample Date:	12/17/10	Sample Time:	1237
Sampled By:	Kelly R. H	lowes	
Weather Conditions:	Cloudy		
Accumulative Yards:	~5	Batch Size (cy):	
Placement Method:	Direct Dise	charge	
Water Added Before (gal)	:0		
Water Added After (gal):	0		
Sample Location:	Same as pl	acement	
Placement Location:	Customere paving rep	te Brittmoore plant air	misc.

Maximum

Compressive

## Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Specimen ID	Diameter (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Test (days)	Load (lbs)	Strength (psi)	Fracture Type
2	A	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	97,790	3,460	2
2	В	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	96,870	3,430	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,450	
2	С	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	123,050	4,350	2
2	D	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	134,820	4,770	3
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,560	
2	Е	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	134,000	4,740	3
2	F	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	137,690	4,870	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,810	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Age at

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

#### Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1430

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Reported To:	Armando	Barcenas	w/Customcrete

Contractor: Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### Test Methods: ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

Report Number:92101448.0003Service Date:12/17/10Report Date:02/11/11Resisting Volumetric Mix

#### Client

Custom Crete Inc Attn: Jerry Gaubert 2624 Joe Field Rd Dallas, TX 75229

### **Material Information**

**Specified Strength:** 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000 psi volumetric				
Supplier:	Customcrete				
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	Brittmoore		
Truck No.:	NA	Ticket No.:	NA		

#### **Field Test Data**

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3 3/4	3 to 5
Air Content (%):	5.2	1 to 3
Concrete Temp. (F):	67	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	140.6	

Sample Date:	12/17/10	Sample Time:	1300
Sampled By:	Kelly R. Howes		
Weather Conditions:	Cloudy		
Accumulative Yards:	~7.0	Batch Size (cy):	
Placement Method:	Direct Disc	harge	
Water Added Before (gal)	:0		
Water Added After (gal):	0		
Sample Location:	Same as pla	acement	
Placement Location:	Customcret	te Brittmoore plant i	misc.
	paving repa	air	

Maximum

Compressive

# Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Specimen ID	Diameter (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Test (days)	Load (lbs)	Strength (psi)	Fracture Type
3	Α	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	89,250	3,160	2
3	В	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	92,370	3,270	2
						Ave	erage (7 days)	3,220	
3	С	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	124,840	4,420	2
3	D	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	117,870	4,170	2
						Aver	age (28 days)	4,300	
3	Е	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	131,890	4,670	3
3	F	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	133,120	4,710	3
						Aver	age (56 days)	4,690	

Project

Concrete Comparative Testing

Project Number: 92101448

Age at

Sample Information

4523 Brittmoore Rd

Houston, TX

Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

#### Samples Made By: Terracon

Services: Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).

Started:

Finished:

1130

1430

Terracon Rep.: Kelly R. Howes

Reported To:	Armando	Barc	enas v	w/Customcre	te

Contractor: Custom Crete Inc

**Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc,

jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064

**Report Number: 92101448.0003** Service Date: 12/17/10 02/11/11 Revision 3 - 56-day results **Report Date: Re-Testing Volumetric Mix** Task:

### Project

Concrete Comparative Testing 4523 Brittmoore Rd Houston, TX

Project Number: 92101448

**Sample Information** 

# **Material Information**

Custom Crete Inc

2624 Joe Field Rd

Dallas, TX 75229

Attn: Jerry Gaubert

Client

Specified Strength: 3,000 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	3000 psi volu	metric	
Supplier:	Customcrete		
<b>Batch Time:</b>		Plant:	Brittmoore
Truck No.:	NA	Ticket No.:	NA

### Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	3 1/4	3 to 5
Air Content (%):	4.7	1 to 3
Concrete Temp. (F):	68	
Ambient Temp. (F):	64	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):	140.2	

Sample Date:	12/17/10	Sample Time:	1316		
Sampled By:	Kelly R. H	owes			
Weather Conditions:	Clear				
Accumulative Yards:	~8.5	Batch Size (cy):			
Placement Method:	Direct Discharge				
Water Added Before (gal)	:0				
Water Added After (gal):	0				
Sample Location:	Same as pla	acement			
Placement Location:	Customcrete Brittmoore plant misc.				
	paving repa	air			

# Laboratory Test Data

lory rest D	ata				Age at	Maximum	Compressive	
Specimen	Diameter	Area	Date	Date	Test	Load	Strength	Fracture
ID	(in)	(sq in)	Received	Tested	(days)	(lbs)	(psi)	Туре
А	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	91,180	3,230	2
В	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	12/24/10	7	88,650	3,140	2
					Ave	erage (7 days)	3,190	
С	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	127,540	4,510	3
D	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	01/14/11	28	113,950	4,030	2
					Aver	age (28 days)	4,270	
Е	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	127,960	4,530	3
F	6.00	28.27	12/18/10	02/11/11	56	125,980	4,460	2
					Aver	age (56 days)	4,500	
	Specimen ID A B C D E F	Specimen         Diameter           ID         (in)           A         6.00           B         6.00           C         6.00           D         6.00           E         6.00           F         6.00	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Specimen         Diameter         Area         Date         Date         Test           ID         (in)         (sq in)         Received         Tested         (days)           A         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7           B         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7           C         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28           D         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56	Specimen         Diameter         Area         Date         Date         Test         Load           ID         (in)         (sq in)         Received         Tested         (days)         (lbs)           A         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7         91,180           B         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7         88,650           Kerage (7 days)         C         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28         127,540           D         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28         113,950           Kerage (28 days)         Kerage (28 days)         Kerage (28 days)         Kerage (28 days)         Kerage (56 days)           E         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56         127,960           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56         125,980           Kerage (56 days)         Kerage (56 days)         Kerage (56 days)         Kerage (56 days)	Specimen         Diameter         Area         Date         Date         Test         Load         Strength           ID         (in)         (sq in)         Received         Tested         (days)         (lbs)         (psi)           A         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7         91,180         3,230           B         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         12/24/10         7         88,650         3,140           V         Average (7 days)         3,190         Austral         Austral         Austral         Austral           C         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28         127,540         4,510           D         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         01/14/11         28         113,950         4,030           E         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56         127,960         4,530           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56         125,980         4,460           F         6.00         28.27         12/18/10         02/11/11         56         125,980         4,460 <t< td=""></t<>

Comments: Compressive strength of 56 day cylinders complies with the specified strength.

Re-testing of volumetric mix concrete. First testing performed on 12/13/2010.

Report Number:92101448.0003Service Date:12/17/10Report Date:02/11/11Revision 3 - 56-day resultsTask:Re-Testing Volumetric Mix

Client

Custom Crete Inc

2624 Joe Field Rd

Dallas, TX 75229

Attn: Jerry Gaubert

**Therefore** 11555 Clay Road Suite 100 Houston, TX 77043 713-690-8989 Reg No: F-3272

#### Project

Concrete Comparative Testing 4523 Brittmoore Rd Houston, TX

Project Number: 92101448

#### Samples Made By: Terracon

Services:Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C-172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and<br/>test compressive strength samples (ASTM C-31 (with the exception of Section 10.1.2), C-39, C-617).Terracon Rep.:Kelly R. HowesStarted:1130

**Reported To:** Armando Barcenas w/Customcrete **Contractor:** Custom Crete Inc **Report Distribution:** 

(1) Custom Crete Inc, jerry.gaubert@oldcastleapg.com

Finished: 1430 **Reviewed By:** ar B. Desai, Ph.D., P.E. Project Manager

#### **Test Methods:** ASTM C138, ASTM C143, ASTM C173, ASTM C1064